

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,995 03/23/20		03/23/2004	Marwan Abboud	21819-194U	2340
31292	7590	12/27/2005		EXAMINER	
		WEISBERG, P.A.	TOY, A	LEX B	
200 EAST L SUITE 2040		BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301				3739	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_				E			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/806,995	ABBOUD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alex B. Toy	3739				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	•			
WHIO - Extending - Extending - If No - Failing - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the properties of the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>08 December 2005</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 7,8 and 12-31 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	is/are withdrawn from considera	tion.				
Applicat	tion Papers						
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1 (d)			
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to AII b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage				
Attachmei	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Noti 3) Info	ce of Neterences Cited (F10-032) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-tmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date 8/6/04; 9/14/05.	948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 12-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on December 8, 2005.

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I is acknowledged. Regarding the election of species, the examiner revises Species I to include the embodiments shown in Figs. 1A and 1B. However, claims 7 and 8 (which depends from claim 7) are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected Species VII-XIII shown in Figs. 2C-2D, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

In summary, claims 7-8 and 12-31 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are examined.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,995 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fram (U.S. Pat. No. 5,540,679).

Regarding claim 1, Fram discloses a method of inflating and deflating a catheter having an expandable membrane, the method comprising the steps of:

controllably inflating the expandable membrane to a target pressure or volume (col. 5, ln. 64-67 and Fig. 6);

ablating a desired tissue region while maintaining the target pressure or volume of the expandable membrane (col. 1, ln. 45-46, col. 5, ln. 64-67, and col. 6, ln. 4-6); and controllably deflating the expandable membrane (col. 5, ln. 11-17).

Regarding claim 10, Fram discloses method of claim 1, wherein the step of ablating the desired tissue region is part of a radio frequency ablation process (col. 5, ln. 26-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3739

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fram (679).

Regarding claim 2, Fram discloses method of claim 1. In addition, Fram discloses heating the balloon up to a preset temperature and deactivating the heating process at any desired time (col. 7, ln. 45-49). Therefore, as a matter of routine skill in the art, it would have been obvious to have kept the expandable membrane inflated until a region proximate the expandable membrane reached a predetermined temperature range and then deflated the balloon once that preset temperature was reached in order to remove the balloon once the procedure was completed.

Claims 3, 6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fram ('679) in view of Yamaguchi (U.S. Pat. No. 5,433,740).

Regarding claims 3, Fram discloses method of claim 1. The claim differs from Fram in calling for the inflation/deflation control means to be located within a first console. Yamaguchi, however, teaches a control means 18 located within a first console

Art Unit: 3739

"Oomaon Hamber: Toroco,o

1 for inflating and deflating a balloon 6 (col. 4, ln. 47-64 and Figs. 1-2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have located the inflation/deflation control means within a first console in view of the teaching of Yamaguchi as an obvious alternate means of better controlling the inflation and deflation of a balloon catheter that is known in the art.

Regarding claim 6, Fram discloses method of claim 1. The claim differs from Fram in calling for the method of if the target pressure or volume is not reached, reinflating the expandable membrane in order to reach the target pressure or volume. Yamaguchi, however, teaches adjusting fluid flow in order to reach the target pressure or volume in order to keep the balloon in intimate contact with the cavity wall and detect leaks (col. 4, ln. 54-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the step of claim 6 in the method of Fram in view of the teaching of Yamaguchi in order to keep the balloon in intimate contact with the cavity wall and detect leaks.

Regarding claim 11, Fram discloses a method for inflating and deflating a catheter having an expandable membrane, the catheter being part of a catheter system including a first console (see rejection of claim 3), a catheter, and an umbilical system coupling the first console to the catheter (see rejection of claim 3), the method comprising the steps of:

controllably inflating the expandable membrane proximate a desired tissue region, the expandable membrane being inflated to a target pressure or volume in order to provide sufficient mechanical force against the desired tissue region (Fig. 10);

Art Unit: 3739

ablating the desired tissue region while maintaining the expandable membrane at the target pressure or volume (col. 1, ln. 45-46, col. 5, ln. 64-67, and col. 6, ln. 4-6); and controllably deflating the expandable membrane (col. 5, ln. 11-17).

The claim differs from Fram in calling for the method to further comprise evacuating air from the expandable membrane by creating a vacuum in the expandable membrane. Yamaguchi, however, teaches evacuating air from the expandable membrane by creating a vacuum in the expandable membrane (col. 6, ln. 47-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the step of evacuating air in the method of Fram in view of the teaching of Yamaguchi in order to remove unwanted air from the balloon.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fram ('679) in view of Yamaguchi ('740) and further in view of Edwards (U.S. Pat. No. 6,258,087 B1).

Regarding claim 4, Fram discloses the method of claims 1 and 3 in view of Yamaguchi. The claim differs from Fram in view of Yamaguchi in calling for the inflation/deflation control means to be a Proportional Integral Derivative controller. Edwards, however, teaches a pump system that uses a Proportional Integral Derivative controller to control fluid flow (col. 36, In. 15-20) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a Proportional Integral Derivative controller in the method of Fram in view of Yamaguchi,

Art Unit: 3739

further in view of the teaching of Edwards as an obvious means of better controlling fluid flow to the balloon that is known in the art.

Regarding claim 5, Fram/Yamaguchi/Edwards disclose the method of claims 1, 3, and 4. In addition, the inflation/deflation control means of Yamaguchi includes a pressure switch that controls an on/off valve.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fram ('679) in view of Joye (US PGPub 2002/0045894 A1).

Regarding claim 9, Fram discloses the method of claim 1. The claim differs from Fram in calling for the step of ablating the desired tissue region to be part of a cryoablation process. Joye, however, teaches a balloon catheter for cryoablation (pg. 1, ¶ 3 and Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have introduced cryogenic fluid into the balloon of Fram in view of the teaching of Joye as an obvious alternate method of using a balloon catheter to treat tissue that is well-known in the art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 3125096 A	USPAT	Antiles et al.
US 4955377 A	USPAT	Lennox; Charles D. et al.
US 5190540 A	USPAT	Lee; Benjamin I.
US 5300099 A	USPAT	Rudie; Eric N.
US 5405346 A	USPAT	Grundy; David A. et al.
US 5433708 A	USPAT	Nichols; Colin J. et al.

Art Unit: 3739

US 5624392 A	USPAT	Saab; Mark A.
US 5957962 A	USPAT	Wallsten; Hans I et al.
US 6004269 A	USPAT	Crowley; Robert J. et al.
US 6283959 B1	USPAT	Lalonde; Jean Pierre et al.
US 20040002748 A1	US-PGPUB	Ryan, Thomas P. et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex B. Toy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AT 🎢 12/22/05